

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,126	. (04/24/2001	Toshiya Ohtake	P 280253 T4SS-00S1406-1		
909	7590	07/18/2003				
PILLSBU	RY WINT	HROP, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN,		2		LUK, OLIVIA T		
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2812		
		•		DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	09/840,126		OHTAKE ET AL.	M				
Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit					
•	Olivia T Luk		2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap				ess				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent or the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mid d will apply and will expire the cause the application	rever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		•					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-	inal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for f r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	ormal matters, pro , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the i 53 O.G. 213.	merits is				
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	1							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are withd		eration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ement.						
Application Papers	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2001</u> is/are: a	ı)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		ction.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority docume 								
2. Certified copies of the priority docume								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		tage				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	orovisional applica	tion has been rec	eived.					
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	4) 5)) 6) [Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-					

Application/Control Number: 09/840,126

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Omae et al. (5,570,215).

The rejection in Paper No. 6, mailed 4/2/03, is maintained for reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Omae et al. in view of Manabe et al. (6,411,355 B1).

The rejection in Paper No. 6, mailed 4/2/03, is maintained for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts the structural combination in invention is different from those

Application/Control Number: 09/840,126

Art Unit: 2812

taught by Omae et al. and Manabe et al. because it comprises a polarization plate, phase difference plate, liquid crystal layer and selectively reflective layer, specifically, that the references do not teach the selectively reflective layer. Omae et al. teaches a liquid crystal display apparatus composed of a polarizing plate 111 on the incident (or input) side, a liquid crystal cell 112, a phase difference plate 113, and a polarizing plate 114 on the output side in order from the incident light side.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olivia T Luk whose telephone number is 703-305-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on 7AM to 4PM Mon-Fri.

Page 4

Application Control Ivan

Art Unit: 2812

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 703-308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-8802 for regular communications and 703-746-8802 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

OTL July 10, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800